## Exhibit 3

## Pages 1 - 147

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable Peter H. Kang, Magistrate Judge

IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA
ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONAL
INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION,

NO. C 22-md-03047-YGR (PHK)

San Francisco, California Thursday, January 25, 2024

## **APPEARANCES:**

For Plaintiffs:

SEEGER WEISS LLP

1515 Market Street - Suite 1380 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102

BY: CHRISTOPHER AYERS, ATTORNEY AT LAW

LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN

& BERNSTEIN LLP

275 Battery Street - 29th Floor San Francisco, California 94111

BY: LEXI J. HAZAM, ATTORNEY AT LAW

MOTLEY RICE LLC

401 9th Street NW - Suite 630

Washington, D.C. 20004

BY: PREVIN WARREN, ATTORNEY AT LAW

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

Reported By: Ruth Levine Ekhaus, RMR, RDR, FCRR

Official Reporter, CSR No. 12219

fair that the defendants should have the same amount of time to

Okay. Do you need six weeks or more?

MR. WARREN: If I may briefly respond. Previn Warren

provide their initial disclosures to the plaintiffs.

THE COURT:

22

23

24

25

The plaintiffs' fact sheet process is working itself out in the JCCP. It's going to be an extensive amount of information. The PFS process has been heavily negotiated.

As I indicated, I think the initial disclosures we would provide would essentially be a placeholder referencing that, kind of a down payment on the PFSs that would get filed. It's unfortunately just very different in kind to the information we need from the defendants, which is simply: Who are the witnesses; who are the employees; and what do they know?

That's information the defendants have been sitting on since the outset of this litigation over a year ago. There really isn't a need -- there isn't any other process that's going to subsume that that justifies any kind of delay.

So we're happy to provide what's essentially a placeholder initial disclosures in four weeks, and then the PFS process will --

THE COURT: Let me stop you there. I mean, your initial disclosures should be as complete as you can reasonably make them at the time you're making them; right?

So to the extent your clients have information that belongs in initial disclosure, I think you're obligated to put it. You can't just have a blank placeholder. If you got -- you've got time to pull together at least some information.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, it's simply not possible

```
given the number of individual plaintiffs and the counsel we'd
 1
     have to interface with. We do have a process that is literally
 2
     for the purpose of providing this information to the defendants
 3
     and having a new initial disclosure process insert itself into
 4
 5
     that, I think, would be very disruptive to the efficiencies
 6
     that we've tried very hard to create around the sharing of this
     information.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. So is counsel correct that you
 8
 9
     probably won't get -- start actually issuing PFSs until late
    March?
10
11
              MR. WARREN:
                           I believe something along that timeline
12
     is correct, yes.
                         What about school districts?
13
              THE COURT:
              MR. WARREN: There's a separate school district
14
15
     plaintiff fact sheet process that's getting worked through
16
     right now.
              THE COURT:
                         But in terms of just numerosity, there's
17
     fewer school districts than there are individual plaintiffs,
18
     aren't there?
19
              MR. WARREN: No, Your Honor, actually that's not
20
     correct; there's over 600.
21
22
                             And, Your Honor, the plaintiff fact
              MS. SIMONSEN:
23
     sheet has not even been agreed to in the school district cases
     in the JCCP. That is a whole other set of discovery that's
24
```

going to need to take place again before the close of fact

25